arXiv:1604.05477v3 [cond-mat.stat-mech] 3 Mar 2017

Reentrant condensation transition in a two species driven diffusive system

Bijoy Daga *

CMP Division, Saha Institute of Nuclear Physics, HBNI, 1/AF Bidhannagar, Kolkata 700064, India

Abstract

We study an interacting box-particle system on a one-dimensional periodic ring involving two species of particles A and B. In this model, from a randomly chosen site, a particle of species A can hop to its right neighbor with a rate that depends on the number of particles of the species B at that site. On the other hand, particles of species B can be transferred between two neighboring sites with rates that depends on the number of particles of species B at the two adjacent sites−this process however can occur only when the two sites are devoid of particles of the species A. We study condensation transition for a specific choice of rates and find that the system shows a reentrant phase transition of species A − the species A passes successively through fluid-condensate-fluid phases as the coupling parameter between the dynamics of the two species is varied. On the other hand, the transition of species B is from condensate to fluid phase and hence does not show reentrant feature.

1 Introduction

The study of systems driven far from thermal equilibrium, also known as driven diffusive systems (DDS), has been at the forefront in statistical mechanics in the last few decades [1]. These systems have found applications in understanding transport in superionic conductors [2,3], protein synthesis in prokaryotic cells [4,5], traffic flow [6], biophysical transport [7,8], etc. These systems evolve under local stochastic dynamics and in the long time limit reach a non-equilibrium current carrying stationary state. Certain surprising features of these non-equilibrium steady states have generated an overwhelming interest among researchers. For example, these systems may exhibit spontaneous symmetry breaking [9], boundary induced phase transition [10,11], phase separation transition [12], condensation transition [13,14] even in one dimension.

In this work, we discuss reentrant condensation transition in a DDS involving two species of interacting particles on a one dimensional periodic ring. A reentrant phase

*Electronic address: bijoy.daga@saha.ac.in



Figure 1: Dynamics of the two-species box-particle model: The diffusion rate, $u(m, n)$ of species A (red-circles) in general can depend on the number of particles of both the species at that site. Exchange process of species B (blue-circles) can occur only if both the sites are devoid of the species A. The rate of this process depends on the number of particles of the species B at the two participating sites.

transition is said to occur if by varying a certain parameter, the system undergoes transition from one phase to another phase and finally reenters the initial phase. Such transitions have been reported in a variety of equilibrium systems, for example in models of spin glasses [15] and multicomponent liquid mixtures [16]. Reentrant transition have also been reported in some non-equilibrium systems. For example, Antal and Schütz studied a system of a driven non-equilibrium lattice gas of hard-core particles with next-nearest neighbor interaction in one dimension [17], where for attractive interactions, a reentrant transition between high density (HD) phase and maximal current(MC) phase was observed. A few reaction-diffusion systems [18, 19] having a competing dynamics between diffusion and particle interaction have been observed to show a similar reentrant phase behavior− where the transition is from absorbing-to-active-to-absorbing phases. In biological systems, reentrant transitions have been reported experimentally in protein and DNA solutions in presence of multivalent metal ions [20]. Such physical phenomena are vital for understanding biological processes like protein crystallization and DNA condensation. Reentrant phase behavior has also been observed in driven colloidal systems [21] and force induced DNA unzipping transitions [22].

The two species driven diffusive model discussed here was first introduced in [23], where the phase separation transition in a model of reconstituting k-mers can be studied by mapping the model to the two species box particle system. Here we report that this model can show a reentrant condensation transition where one of the species undergoes fluid-condensate-fluid transition when the interaction parameter between the two species is varied.

The article is organized in the following way: In section 2 we define the model and write down its product measure steady state. In the next section we study the system in the grand canonical ensemble for a specific choice of diffusion rates and show that condensation transition in one of the species show a reentrant behavior. In section 4 we compare the reentrant behavior of this model with that in some single species models and finally we summarize the results in section 5 and conclude with some discussions.

2 The model

We study condensation transition in a box-particle model on a one dimensional periodic lattice of L sites or boxes labeled as $i = 1, 2, \cdots, L$ and containing two species of particles, say A and B. At a given site i, let m_i and n_i be the number of particles of species A and B respectively. A typical configuration of the model is represented as $C = \{m_1, n_1; m_2, n_2 \cdots m_i, n_i \cdots m_L, n_L\} = \{m_i, n_i\}$. The dynamics of the model is the following: from a randomly chosen site i, a particle of species A can hop to site $i+1$ with rate $u(m_i, n_i)$ that in general depends on the number of particles of both A and B. On the other hand, particles of species B can be transferred between two neighboring boxes i and $i+1$ with rates that depend on the number of particles at both arrival and departure sites. However, in this case there is an additional restriction that the boxes exchanging particles of species B are devoid of particles of the species A. This condition is crucial for explicit factorization of the steady state [23]. Depending on whether a B-type particle is transferred from site i to $i+1$ or vice-versa, we define $w(n_i, n_{i+1})$ or $w(n_{i+1}, n_i)$ to be the corresponding rates for the dynamics of species B. The above dynamics, also shown in Figure 1 can be represented in the following way:

$$\{\cdots m_i, n_i;\ m_{i+1}, n_{i+1} \cdots\} \xrightarrow{u(m_i,n_i)} \{\cdots m_i - 1, n_i;\ m_{i+1} + 1, n_{i+1} \cdots\}, \tag{1}$$

$$\{\cdots 0, n_i;\ 0, n_{i+1} \cdots\} \xrightarrow{w(n_i,n_{i+1})} \{\cdots 0, n_i - 1;\ 0, n_{i+1} + 1 \cdots\} \tag{2}$$

and

$$\{\cdots 0, n_i;\ 0, n_{i+1} \cdots\} \xrightarrow{w(n_{i+1},n_i)} \{\cdots 0, n_i + 1;\ 0, n_{i+1} - 1 \cdots\}. \tag{3}$$

Note that the dynamics conserves the total number of particles of each species. In this article we would limit to the situation where $u(m, n) \equiv u(n)$, thus the rate of diffusion of species A depends on the number of particles of species B. Such a choice makes the dynamics of the species A comparable to that of a disordered zero range process [24], the background disorder being created by the presence of species B evolve with time. A two species zero-range process [25–27] has been previously studied where one of the species obeys a dynamics similar to that of species A in this model. The dynamics of the species B is similar to that of a misanthrope process [28, 29] and hence the rate of diffusion depends on the number of particles in both departure and the arrival site. It is necessary to mention here that in the model of reconstituting k-mers, particles of species A corresponds to 0-particles and that of species B corresponds to k-particles [23].

The steady state of the model has a product measure so that the probability of finding the system in an arbitrary configuration, $C = \{m_i, n_i\}$ can be written in the following factorised form:

$$P(\{m_i, n_i\}) = \frac{1}{Q^L_{M,N}} \prod_{i=1}^{L} f(m_i, n_i) \delta\left(\sum_{i=1}^{L} m_i - M\right) \delta\left(\sum_{i=1}^{L} n_i - N\right), \tag{4}$$

where the partition function $Q^L_{M,N}$ is given by

$$Q^L_{M,N} = \sum_{\{m_i\},\{n_i\}} \prod_{i=1}^{L} f(m_i, n_i) \delta\left(\sum_{i=1}^{L} m_i - M\right) \delta\left(\sum_{i=1}^{L} n_i - N\right). \tag{5}$$

The δ-functions appearing above make sure that only those configurations are to be summed over which the number of particles M and N of species A and B are conserved. Therefore the densities, $\rho_A = M/L$ and $\rho_B = N/L$ of the two species A and B do not change as the system evolves over time. Here, we consider factorized rate for species B,

$$w(m,n) = w_1(m)w_2(n). \tag{6}$$

This choice makes the steady state factorised and the weight factors appearing in Eq. (4) are then given by [23]

$$f(m,n) = u(n)^{-m} \prod_{i=1}^{n} \frac{w_2(i-1)}{w_1(i)}. \tag{7}$$

In the next section, we study the nature of condensation transition of the system for certain specific choice of rates.

3 Condensation and reentrant transition

A salient feature of box-particle systems is that they can show condensation transition [14, 29, 30]. For such systems, condensation occurs when the density ρ is larger than a finite critical density ρ_c. In the condensed phase there exists a background critical fluid consisting of $\rho_c L$ particles, and a condensate carrying $(\rho - \rho_c)L$ particles, L being the system size. For certain choices of diffusion rates, the two species model discussed here also shows condensation of one or both the species which can be established by studying the system in the grand canonical ensemble (GCE) [23]. In GCE, let z and x be the fugacities corresponding to the species A and B respectively; then the grand canonical partition function, $Z_L(z,x)$ obtained from the canonical partition function (Eq. (5)) is given by

$$Z_L(z,x) = \sum_{M=0}^{\infty} \sum_{N=0}^{\infty} z^M x^N Q^L_{M,N} = F(z,x)^L, \tag{8}$$

where

$$F(z,x) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} z^m x^n f(m,n). \tag{9}$$

Let $z \leq z_c$ and $x \leq x_c$ define the domain of fugacities for which $F(z,x) < \infty$ and thus the grand canonical measure is valid within this domain. In GCE, densities are given by

$$\rho_A(z,x) = \frac{z}{F}\frac{\partial F}{\partial z} \quad \text{and} \quad \rho_B(z,x) = \frac{x}{F}\frac{\partial F}{\partial x}\ . \tag{10}$$

If one or both densities become finite as critical values of fugacities are approached then the system is in a condensate carrying phase. In such a phase a system cannot accommodate densities that are larger than the ones set by the critical limit and therefore undergoes a condensation transition. Here, we discuss one of the rates for which the condensation transition of species A shows a reentrant behavior. Let us set the rate of diffusion of the species A as a step function,

$$u(n) = \begin{cases} v & \text{for } n < k \\ 1 & \text{otherwise}\ . \end{cases} \tag{11}$$

Here $k < \infty$ can take only positive integer values and $u(0) = 0$. We also consider w_1 and w_2 to differ by a constant [29],

$$w_1(n) = \frac{n+2}{n+1} \quad ; \quad w_2(n) = \frac{n+2}{n+1} - \alpha, \tag{12}$$

where $0 < \alpha < 1$. Defining $\sigma = (3-2\alpha)/(1-\alpha)$, we characterise the rates of diffusion of species A and B by three parameters, namely v, k and σ. Using Eq. (7), we then obtain

$$f(m,n) = \frac{1}{[u(m)]^n} \frac{n!}{(\sigma)_n} (n+1)^2, \tag{13}$$

where $(\sigma)_n = \sigma(\sigma+1)\cdots(\sigma+n-1)$ is the Pochhammer symbol. The partition function in the GCE, following Eqs. (8) and (9), is $Z_L(z,x) = F(z,x)^L$, with

$$F(z,x) = \frac{z(1-v)G_{k-1}(x) + (v-z)G_\infty(x)}{(1-z)(v-z)}, \tag{14}$$

where

$$G_k(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{k} x^n \frac{n!}{(\sigma)_n} (n+1)^2. \tag{15}$$

From Eq. (14), it is evident that the maximum value of the fugacities for which $F(z,x) < \infty$ are $z_c = \min\{1, v\}$ and $x_c = 1$. The densities of the two species in GCE obtained from Eq. (10) are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_A(z,x) &= \frac{z}{1-z} + \frac{zv(1-v)G_{k-1}(x)}{(v-z)[z(1-v)G_{k-1}(x) + (v-z)G_\infty(x)]} \\ &\text{and} \\ \rho_B(z,x) &= x\frac{z(1-v)G'_{k-1}(x) + (v-z)G'_\infty(x)}{z(1-v)G_{k-1}(x) + (v-z)G_\infty(x)} \\ &. \end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

Here, prime ($'$) indicates derivative with respect to x. It has been shown in [23] that as $x \to x_c(=1)$, ρ_B may become finite but as $z \to z_c$, ρ_A always diverge. This means that in absence of A-type particles, *i.e.* when $z = 0$, species B can condense but A cannot condense if there are no particles of species $B(x = 0)$ in the system. Therefore the critical line is given by $x = 1$.

In absence of particles of species A, the critical density is $\rho_B^c = 4/(\sigma - 5)$ and thus condensation of species B occurs when $\sigma > 5$ [29]. However, when the density of species A is not equal to zero ($z > 0$ case), (ρ_A^c, ρ_B^c) depends on all three parameters, σ, k and v. For a given value of k, the nature of condensation is found to be different for the regimes $v < 1$ and $v > 1$ [23]. For $\rho_A > 0$, the background critical densities can be found out from the grand canonical measure by using the Großkinsky theorem [31]. It was proved in [31] that the normal directions of the critical line in $\mu_x - \mu_z$ plane (here chemical potentials are $\mu_x = \ln(x)$ and $\mu_z = \ln(z)$) translates to a direction in density plane along which the background density remain invariant. For the model under study, the critical line is



Figure 2: Phase diagram in the $\rho_B - \rho_A$ plane corresponding to the critical line $x = 1$ for (a) $v = 2 (v > 1$ *regime*) and (b) $v = 0.5 (v < 1$ *regime*). In the inset we chose the lines $z < z_c$ and plot it in the corresponding density plane. For $v > 1$, the slope of the line PQR is positive and it is zero for $v < 1$. The background critical density is given by point Q [31]. Here $\sigma = 10$ and $k = 5$.

$x = 1$ (*i.e.* $\mu_x = 0$) and thus the normals are defined by $z = constant$. For illustration, we take $z = 0.9 (< z_c = 1)$ for $v = 2$ and $z = 0.4 (< z_c = v)$ for $v = 0.5$ in Figure 2 and plot the corresponding line in $\rho_B - \rho_A$ plane. It approaches the critical point $Q \equiv (\rho_B^c, \rho_A^c)$ with a slope given by the tangent line PQR. The Großkinsky criteria indicate that the background critical density along the line QR in the condensate phase is invariant and is given by the point Q. When $v > 1$, for any arbitrary density (ρ_B, ρ_A) on the line QR, $\rho_B > \rho_B^c$ and $\rho_A > \rho_A^c$; both species would therefore have extra particles which would form a condensate. When $v < 1$, the slope of the line PQR is always *zero*, regardless of the value of z and therefore only species B would form a condensate.



Figure 3: Phase diagram of the model in $v - \rho_B$ plane for $k = 5$, $\sigma = 10$, and $\rho_A = 1.5$. The red dashed line, $v = 1$, separates Region I where species B condense and Region II where both A and B can condensate. The blue curve separates the fluid region (Region III) from regions I and II (also see text).

For $v = 1$, from Eq. (11) it is clear that the dynamics of the two species gets decoupled and therefore species B may condense with $\rho_B^c = 4/(\sigma - 5)$ but species A do not have a condensate. The line $v = 1$ thus separates *only* species B condensing phase region from other regions. In Figure 3, we plot the variation of ρ_B with respect to the coupling parameter v for fixed values of ρ_A, k and σ. Clearly, in the phase diagram, there are three regions describing different phases:

Region I: Here $v < 1$, species B has a condensate but species A remains fluid. However, from Figure 2, it is clear that for $v < 1$, the liquid phase of A at point R has a density ρ_A^c and hence it remains critical.

Region II: For intermediate values of v, both A and B would condense.

Region III: For higher values of v, the system remains fluid and neither of the two species has a condensate. Here the fluid of both species is not critical, and is physically different from Region I, where A is a critical fluid.

Thus, in the phase diagram (Figure 3), as we move along v-axis, we find that the system passes successively through regions where species A is fluid-condensate-fluid thereby showing a reentrant feature. For species B the transition is from condensate to fluid phase only, and thus the reentrant behavior does not exist.

4 Reentrant condensation transition in some single species models

4.1 Zero-range process

Let us consider a zero range process [13, 14], on a periodic ring with L boxes or sites and N number of particles. In ZRP, a particle can hop from a site to its neighboring site with a rate that depends only on the occupation number of the departure site. Each box i can be occupied by any number of particles, but there is an overall conservation of particles since particles cannot be created or destroyed. If n_i is the number of particles at an arbitrary site i, then $C{=}\{n_1, n_2, \cdots\} = \{n_i\}$ represents a typical configuration of the system. The particle conserving dynamics is defined as following: from a randomly chosen site i, a particle can jump asymmetrically towards its right site $i + 1$ with rate $u(n_i)$ that depends only on the number of particles at i. The steady state for the ZRP can be determined exactly [14] and can be written in the form of a product measure:

$$P(C) = \frac{1}{Q_N^L} \prod_{i=1}^{L} f(n_i) \delta \left(\sum_{i=1}^{L} n_i - N \right); \quad f(n) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{u(i)}, \tag{17}$$

where $Q_N^L = \sum_{\{n_i\}} P(\{n_i\}) \delta \left(\sum_{i=1}^{L} n_i - N \right)$ is the canonical partition function and the delta-function ensures that the total number of particles are conserved. Let us make the following choice of rates

$$u(n) = \frac{n + ab}{n + ab^2}. \tag{18}$$

Here $0 < b < 1$ and $a > 0$. Note that in the asymptotic limit, where $n \to \infty$, the above equation reads as

$$u(n) = 1 + \frac{\gamma}{n} + O\left(\frac{1}{n^2}\right), \tag{19}$$

where $\gamma = ab(1-b)$. It is well known [14] that in ZRP, with rates having the above functional form, there is a condensation transition for large densities (for ρ larger than a critical value ρ_c) if $\gamma > 2$. Thus, we expect a condensation transition here if $ab(1-b) > 2$. However, the critical density ρ_c depends on both a and b and not just on $\gamma = ab(1-b)$. To calculate ρ_c exactly, we proceed to evaluate the partition function $Z_L(z)$ in the GCE, to obtain,

$$Z_L(z) = F(z)^L, \quad \text{with} \quad F(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} z^n f(n), \tag{20}$$

z being the fugacity. The density in GCE is $\rho(z) = z\frac{F'(z)}{F(z)}$, and the critical density as obtained by following the same algebraic steps as in [14] is given by

$$\rho_c = \lim_{z\to 1} \rho(z) = \lim_{z\to 1} \frac{zF'(z)}{F(z)} = \frac{1+ab^2}{ab(1-b)-2}. \tag{21}$$

From Eq. (21), it follows that for any given a, the critical density has a minimum at $b_m = \left(1+\sqrt{1+a}\right)/a$, where $\rho_c = \rho_c^m = 2\left(3+\sqrt{1+a}\right)/(a-8)$. Condensation can thus occur only if $\rho > \rho_c^m$. Further, for any $\rho > \rho_c^m$, the reentrant transition points are given by

$$b_{\mp} = \frac{a\rho \mp \sqrt{a^2\rho^2 - 4a(\rho+1)(2\rho+1)}}{2a(\rho+1)}. \tag{22}$$

In Figure 4, we plot the critical density ρ_c as a function of b for $a = 10$. Clearly, as b is increased, the system passes successively from fluid to condensate phase at b_- and from condensate to fluid phase at b_+, thereby showing a reentrant behavior.



Figure 4: Critical line equation for $a = 10$ having a minimum at b_m is shown. As b is increased, the system passes successively through fluid-condensate-fluid phase and hence it shows reentrant behavior. The reentrant transition points $b_{\mp}$ for $\rho = 8$ are also shown.

Note that from Eq. (21), it is obvious that $\rho_c \sim 1/(\gamma - 2)$. This indicates that reentrant transition cannot be observed in $\gamma - \rho$ plane as ρ_c is a monotonic decreasing function of γ (for $\gamma > 2$). Thus, in this case, the reentrant behavior we observe is only because γ is quadratic in b.

4.2 Models with pair-factorized steady states

Let us discuss another box-particle model of one species where the steady state has an exact pair-factorized form. The model [30, 32] involves biased diffusion of particles on a one dimensional periodic lattice. Here, a particle hops from a randomly chosen site i to site $i+1$ with rate $u(n_{i-1}, n_i, n_{i+1})$ that depends on the number of particles at site $i-1$, i and $i+1$. The probability of finding the system in an arbitrary configuration $C = \{n_i\}$ can be expressed as a product of pair-factorized weights over consecutive sites:

$$P(C) = \frac{1}{Q_N^L} \prod_{i=1}^{L} g(n_i, n_{i+1}) \delta \left(\sum_{i=1}^{L} n_i - N \right), \tag{23}$$

where $Q_N^L = \sum_{\{n_i\}} P(\{n_i\}) \delta \left(\sum_{i=1}^{L} n_i - N \right)$ is the canonical partition function. The δ-function ensures that the total number of particles are conserved. It can be proved [30,32] that the steady state master equation is satisfied if the rate of diffusion satisfies the following condition:

$$u(n_{i-1}, n_i, n_{i+1}) = \frac{g(n_{i-1}, n_i - 1) g(n_i - 1, n_{i+1})}{g(n_{i-1}, n_i) g(n_i, n_{i+1})}. \tag{24}$$

We study a particular model with a pair factorized steady state of the form [30, 32],

$$g(m, n) = \exp \left[(-J + aU)|m - n| + \frac{U}{2} (\delta_{m,0} + \delta_{n,0}) \right], \tag{25}$$

where $a \geq 0$. The corresponding hop rate of particle diffusion from site i to site $i+1$ obtained from Eq. (24) is

$$u(n_{i-1}, n_i, n_{i+1}) = \begin{cases} \exp[2aU - 2J + U\delta_{n,1}] & \text{if } n_i \leq n_{i-1}, n_{i+1} \\ \exp[2J - 2aU + U\delta_{n,1}] & \text{if } n_i > n_{i-1}, n_{i+1} \\ \exp[U\delta_{n,1}] & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \tag{26}$$

From Eq. (26) it follows that if the number of particles at the diffusing site is smaller than the number of particles in the neighboring sites, the rate of diffusion is low if $J > aU$ and high if $J < aU$. Conversely, if the number of particles at the departure site is greater than the number of particles at neighboring sites, the rate of diffusion is low $J < aU$ and high if $J > aU$. Thus the two factors e^{2J} and e^{2aU} compete with each other in determining the evolution of the system. Additionally, a site can get rid of a single particle with rate e^U. Note that when $a = 0$, there is no competition and the model is same as studied in [30, 32]. For such a model phase transition from a fluid phase to condensate carrying phase do not show reentrant behavior. However, when $a > 0$, we find that the system shows a reentrant behavior due to the presence of the competing terms in the interaction.



Figure 5: Phase diagram for $J = 2$. (a) No reentrance for $a = 0$. As we move along the U-axis the system makes transition from fluid phase to condensate phase. (b) Reentrance for $a = 1$. In this case, as U is increased the system pass through through fluid-condensate-fluid phase and hence the transition is reentrant in nature.

In GCE, taking z as the fugacity, one can proceed with the same algebraic steps as in [32] to find that in the limit $z \to 1$, the critical density is given by

$$\rho_c = \frac{e^{J_0 - aU} - 1}{(e^{J_0 - aU} - e^{-2(J - J_0)}) \times (e^{2(J - J_0)} - 1)}, \tag{27}$$

where

$$J_0 = U + aU - ln(e^U - 1). \tag{28}$$

Note that the critical density, ρ_c is finite provided that $J > J_0$. In Figure 5 we take $J = 2$ and plot the phase diagram and the critical density (Eq. (27)) for two different values of the parameter a. When $a = 0$, the system shows a transition from fluid phase to a condensate carrying phase. For $a > 0$, the transition is of the nature fluid-condensate-fluid and hence shows a reentrant behavior.

We would now like to point out certain differences in reentrant transitions in the models discussed above. Firstly, note that although reentrant transition can be simply observed in some single species interacting particle systems, our model provides a clear example where reentrant transitions can occur by tuning the interaction parameter between the two species. Secondly, in Region I of the phase diagram (Figure 3), species A always remains a critical fluid. This nature is different from transition in single species models discussed above where the fluid phases are never critical.

5 Summary and conclusion

In summary, we have studied the condensation transition in a box-particle system of two species A and B respectively. The rate of diffusion of the A species from a given site to its next site depends on the number of particles of the species B at that site. The dynamics of the species B is a nearest neighbor exchange process, where by two adjacent sites can exchange particles of the species B among each other. This process can occur only when are two boxes participating in exchange of particles of the species B are devoid

of particles of A species. We have studied the nature of condensation transition as the interaction parameter that couples the dynamics of the two species is varied and found that the condensation in species A has a reentrant feature. We have also provided two examples of single species driven diffusive systems which show reentrant condensation.

A condensation transition in a box-particle system corresponds to a phase separation transition in the corresponding lattice model [33]. Therefore the phase separation transition in the corresponding lattice map [23] of the model studied here is also expected to show a reentrant behavior. Finally, we think that it would be interesting to find out if reentrant phase behavior could also be observed in a more general class of DDS, namely the finite-range processes which provide cluster factorised steady states [34].

6 Acknowledgements

We thank P. K. Mohanty for many useful discussions, critical comments and careful reading of the manuscript. We thankfully acknowledge the referees for pointing out important references and useful suggestions.

References

[1] A. Schadschneider, D. Chowdhury and K. Nishinari, Stochastic transport in complex systems: from molecules to vehicles (Elsevier, 2010)

[2] S. Katz, J. L. Lebowitz and H. Spohn, Phys. Rev. B **28**, 1655 (1983).

[3] S. Katz, J. L. Lebowitz and H. Spohn, J. Stat. Phys. **34**, 497-537 (1984).

[4] C. T. MacDonald, J. H. Gibbs, and A. C. Pipkin, Biopolymers **6**, 11 (1968)

[5] C. T. MacDonald and J. H. Gibbs, Biopolymers **7**, 707 (1969).

[6] A. Schadschneider, Physica A **285** 101 (2001).

[7] T. Chou and D. Lohse, Phys. Rev. Lett. **82** 3552 (1999).

[8] A. Parmeggiani, T. Franosch and E. Frey Phys. Rev. Lett. **90** 086601 (2003).

[9] M. R. Evans, D. P. Foster, C. Godrèche and D. Mukamel, Phys. Rev. Lett. **74**, 208, (1995).

[10] J. Krug, Phys. Rev. Lett. **67**, 1882 (1991).

[11] V. Popkov and G. M. Schütz, EPL **48**, 257 (1999).

[12] M. R. Evans, Y. Kafri, H. M. Koduvely, and D. Mukamel, Phys. Rev. Lett. **80**, 425 (1998).

[13] M. R. Evans, Brazilian Journal of Physics **30**, 42 (2000).

[14] For a review, see, M. R. Evans and T. Hanney, J. Phys. A **38**, R195 (2005).

[15] W. F. Wolff and J. Zittartz, Z. Phys. B **60**, 185 (1985).

[16] T. Narayanan and A. Kumar, Phys. Rep. **249**, 135 (1994).

[17] T. Antal, G. M. Schütz, Phys. Rev. E, **62**, 83 (2000).

[18] R. Dickman, Phys. Rev. B **40**, 7005 (1989).

[19] G. Ódor, Phys. Rev. E **69**, 036112 (2004).

[20] F. Zhang *et al* Phys. Rev. Lett. **101**, 148101 (2008).

[21] G. S. Redner, A. Baskaran, and M. F. Hagan, Phys. Rev. E **88**, 012305 (2013).

[22] D. Marenduzzo *et. al.* Phys. Rev. Lett. **88**, 028102 (2002).

[23] B. Daga and P. K. Mohanty, J. Stat. Mech. P04004 (2015).

[24] C. Godréche and J. M. Luck, J. Stat. Mech.: Theory Exp. P12013 (2012).

[25] M. R. Evans and T. Hanney, J. Phys. A **36**, L441 (2003).

[26] S. Großkinsky and H. Spohn, Bulletin of the Brazilian Mathematical Society **34**, 489 (2003).

[27] T. Hanney and M. R. Evans, Phys. Rev. E **69**, 016107 (2004).

[28] Cocozza-Thivent, Christiane, Z. Wahr. Verw. Gebiete **70**, 509 (1985)

[29] M. R. Evans and B. Waclaw, J. Phys. A, Math. Theor.**47**, 095001 (2013).

[30] M. R. Evans, T. Hanney, S. N. Majumdar, Phys. Rev. Lett. **97**, 010602 (2006).

[31] S. Großkinsky, Stoch. Proc. Appl. **118**, 1322 (2008).

[32] B. Waclaw, J. Sopik, W. Janke, and H. Meyer-Ortmanns, J. Stat. Mech. P10021 (2009).

[33] Y. Kafri, E. Levine, D. Mukamel, G. M. Schütz, and J. Török Phys. Rev. Lett. **89**, 035702 (2002).

[34] A. Chatterjee, P. Pradhan and P. K. Mohanty Phys. Rev. E **92** 032103 (2015).